#### BOARD OF EDUCATION

Brian White, President Elaine G. Martin, Vice President Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer I. Susan Kattula, Trustee Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D. Superintendent

### Sterling Heights High School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 19, 2013

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2012-2013 educational progress for Sterling Heights High School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Allison Roberts, Principal, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <a href="http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer">http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer</a> or you may review a copy in the principal's office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a "FOCUS" School this year.

Sterling Heights High School has had a slight decline in test scores over the past 4 years. Likewise, with the gap between the top 30% and the bottom 30% of students growing, we placed a strong focus in many key areas this year. As a staff we committed to giving excellent instruction every day! We focused on learning targets, data driven instruction, using vocabulary maps and graphic organizers to meet the needs of our learners and to help close the achievement gap. In reviewing the scores from the spring MME we see that our efforts paid off. Our scores went up this year and our gap decreased. Sterling is committed to high expectations and providing the best instruction to all students.

State law requires that we also report additional information:

- 1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.
- 2. Sterling Heights High School has school wide goals for reading, writing and math. Likewise, we have a school culture and focus that drives our mission and vision of providing excellent instruction daily. We have committed to bell to bell instruction, displaying learning targets, and using a variety of vocabulary strategies to ensure student success. Our school culture is to Showcase Our Stallion Excellence!
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The **Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC)** is an honors program for 9<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day.

The **Career Preparation Center (CPC)** programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

**Community High School and North Star Academy** are alternative education programs for middle and high school students. Both programs are located at the Butcher Educational Center.

4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum guidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State

Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be assessed at www.wcskids.net

5. This year we administered the IOWA test in grades 9-12. We are excited to see that our students made significant gains in achievement during the course of the year. By comparing the fall scores to the spring scores, we saw positive results in all areas. Likewise, our 11<sup>th</sup> grade students took the ME/ACT test in the spring. In looking at the data, Sterling shows that scores went up and that the gap closed. In looking at the data from the IOWA tests and comparing our scale scores from the fall to the spring we made gains across all categories of testing in 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grade, we see we have opportunities for improvement in the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade. Below are the differentials in scale scores.

Grade Level	Reading	Writing	Vocab	Math	Computation	Science	Social Studies
Freshman	7	11	7	8	4	3	14
Sophomore	.47	2.99	6.47	11.2	9.61	1.32	5.42
Junior	0	-6.5	7.0	5.0	8.0	0	0
Senior	-8	-6	0	-2	-2	-3	-5

6. Parent – Teacher Conference Information – Parent Participation at Fall and Spring Conferences.

	Fall	Spring
2011-2012	47%	30%
2012-2013	44%	35%
Change	-3%	+5%

7.

- a. THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENTS Sterling had 9 students participate in dual enrollm $^{\rm en}$ t 1 $^{\rm st}$  semester and 8 2 $^{\rm nd}$  semester for a total of 17 for the 2012-2013 school year.
- b. THE NUMBER OF COLLEGE EQUIVALENT COURSES OFFERED (AP/IB) At Sterling we offer Advanced Placement courses based off student interest and requests. This year we offered 7 different AP courses in various areas, as well as, students have the ability to attend an IB program.
- c. THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN COLLEGE EQUIVALENT COURSES (AP/IB) For the 2012-2013 school year we had 306 students participate in AP courses and have 25 students attending the IB Program.

d. THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS RECEIVING A SCORE LEADING TO COLLEGE CREDIT> We had 273 students participate in AP testing, of which, 161 students receive a score leading to college credit which is 59% of our students tested.

Sterling Heights High School is proud to have achieved AYP and is committed to giving every student the best educational opportunities for learning. The culture of the school supports this vision and students are encouraged and motivated daily to SHOWCASE THEIR STALLION EXCELLENCE! During the 2012-2013 academic year, the Class of 2013 received nearly 4 million in scholarship money! We also have the largest AP Psychology program in the county and leading the State. Sterling Heights High School was ranked as one of the TOP BEST HIGH SCHOOLS FOR 2012 on the prestigious U.S. News and World Report list and ranked in Newsweek as one of the TOP BEST HIGH SCHOOLS FOR 2013. Sterling has dedicated teachers to ensure students success, in the past 4 years; Sterling teachers have earned Teacher of the Year!

Sincerely,

Allison Roberts Principal







### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Group Tested Students Students (Level 1) (Level 2) Proficient Pro	Subject	Grade Testing Group	School Year % Stud Tested	Students	Students	Students	% Advanced (Level 1)		Proficient	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---	---------	------------------------	------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------------------	--	------------	----------------------------------

No Data to Display







Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2011-12	100%	29.1%	22.7%	18.9%	< 10	16.3%	38.2%	42.9%
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	99.7%	28.6%	21.4%	22.8%	< 10	20.5%	43.3%	33.9%
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2011-12	100%	6.1%	6.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	34.4%	59.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	97.1%	5.7%	6.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	54.5%	36.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	American Indian	2011-12	< 10	13.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	< 10	15.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Asian	2011-12	100%	60.2%	37.1%	50%	< 10	42.9%	39.3%	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	100%	58.8%	32.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	< 10	15.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	< 10	15.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	< 10	25.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	< 10	23.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2011-12	100%	33.6%	24.8%	17.5%	< 10	14.9%	37.8%	44.7%
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	100%	33.3%	23.6%	23.7%	< 10	22.4%	41.9%	34.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2011-12	100%	26.9%	21.3%	14.9%	< 10	12.7%	40.9%	44.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	99.4%	27%	18.9%	18.4%	< 10	16.6%	46.6%	35%







Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2011-12	100%	31.2%	24.1%	23.6%	< 10	20.4%	35%	41.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	100%	30.3%	24%	27.8%	< 10	25%	39.6%	32.6%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	13.4%	13.9%	11.8%	< 10	10.4%	35.8%	52.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	99.5%	13%	14.6%	15.3%	< 10	15.3%	43.6%	41.1%
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	100%	9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	18.1%	80.6%
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	100%	7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	27.1%	68.6%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	100%	3.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	76.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	95.2%	3.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	85%
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2011-12	100%	55.9%	46.9%	45%	7.7%	37.3%	26.9%	28.1%
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	100%	53.5%	44.7%	47.1%	11.7%	35.4%	27.9%	25%
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2011-12	100%	27.1%	27.9%	31.3%	< 10	< 10	34.4%	34.4%
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	100%	28.9%	29.4%	52.9%	< 10	50%	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	American Indian	2011-12	< 10	48.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	< 10	47.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	11th Grade	Asian	2011-12	100%	65.5%	53.6%	64.3%	< 10	46.4%	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	100%	64.9%	57.3%	60%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	< 10	41.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	< 10	39.9%	57.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	< 10	55.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	< 10	52.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	White	2011-12	100%	62.4%	49.9%	44%	7.3%	36.7%	27.6%	28.4%
Reading	11th Grade	White	2012-13	100%	59.1%	46%	44.4%	10.4%	34%	28.6%	27%
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2011-12	100%	60.4%	52.1%	48.1%	7.2%	40.9%	26%	26%
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	100%	56%	48%	47%	9.8%	37.2%	31.7%	21.3%
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2011-12	100%	51.4%	41.9%	41.4%	8.3%	33.1%	28%	30.6%
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	100%	51%	41.3%	47.2%	13.9%	33.3%	23.6%	29.2%
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	39.9%	37.4%	36.3%	< 10	32.5%	27.8%	35.8%
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	100%	37.9%	35.3%	37.9%	< 10	34%	30%	32%
Reading	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	100%	12.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	22.2%	72.2%







Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	100%	13.2%	7.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	18.6%	72.9%
Reading	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	100%	19.4%	17.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	100%	19%	15.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	57.1%
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2011-12	100%	25.8%	16.2%	13.6%	< 10	10.9%	20.1%	66.3%
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	99.7%	25.7%	15%	15.6%	3.6%	12.1%	25.1%	59.3%
Science	11th Grade	African American	2011-12	100%	4.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	87.5%
Science	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	97.1%	3.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	33.3%	63.6%
Science	11th Grade	American Indian	2011-12	< 10	14%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	< 10	17%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Asian	2011-12	100%	44.7%	22.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	46.4%
Science	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	100%	44.7%	27%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	< 10	12.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	< 10	12.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	< 10	23.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	< 10	21.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	White	2011-12	100%	30.4%	17.8%	13.1%	< 10	10.5%	20.4%	66.5%
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	100%	30.4%	16.1%	16.2%	< 10	14.1%	22.8%	61%
Science	11th Grade	Female	2011-12	100%	22.8%	15.7%	12.2%	< 10	11%	19.3%	68.5%
Science	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	99.4%	22.5%	13.1%	13.5%	< 10	10.4%	28.8%	57.7%
Science	11th Grade	Male	2011-12	100%	28.7%	16.7%	15.3%	< 10	10.8%	21%	63.7%
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	100%	28.9%	17%	18.1%	< 10	13.9%	20.8%	61.1%
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	100%	12%	9.8%	6.6%	< 10	5.2%	15.6%	77.8%
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	99.5%	11.5%	8%	5.9%	< 10	5.9%	22.8%	71.3%
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	100%	2.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	98.6%
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	100%	2.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	94.3%
Science	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	100%	5.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	81%
Science	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	95.2%	5.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	85%







### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Su	ubject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing

No Data to Display







### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2011-12	100%	68.5%	9475%	100%	90.9%	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	< 10	65.8%	10850%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2011-12	100%	91.4%	12566.7%	100%	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	< 10	91.9%	15040%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2011-12	100%	61.9%	8566.7%	90.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	< 10	59%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2011-12	< 10	52.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	< 10	47.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2011-12	< 10	86.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	< 10	85.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	African American	2011-12	< 10	39.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	< 10	38.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	< 10	61.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	< 10	92.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	< 10	76.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2011-12	< 10	74.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	< 10	72%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	White	2011-12	< 10	93.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	White	2012-13	< 10	94.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	White	2011-12	< 10	70.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	< 10	66.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2011-12	< 10	60.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	< 10	58.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2011-12	< 10	92.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	< 10	93.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Female	2011-12	< 10	57.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	< 10	55.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2011-12	< 10	73.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	< 10	69.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2011-12	< 10	91.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	< 10	91.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2011-12	< 10	64.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	< 10	61.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	69.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	63.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	90.6%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	91.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	< 10	60.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	57.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	< 10	62.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	< 10	63.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	< 10	90.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Reading	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	< 10	83.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	< 10	59.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	< 10	45.8%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	< 10	88.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	< 10	85%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	< 10	83.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	< 10	90.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	< 10	90.9%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	< 10	86.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	White	2012-13	< 10	84.3%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	< 10	83.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	< 10	87.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	< 10	86%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	< 10	81.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	< 10	88.5%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	< 10	84.4%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	< 10	84.1%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	90.7%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	87.2%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	< 10	86%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	< 10	100%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
ELA	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	< 10	100%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	< 10	100%	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10







### **MI-Access Participation**

Subject Grade Testing Group School Year % Students State % Students Students Proficient Proficient School %	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---	-----------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display







Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	98.7%	58.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics		< 30%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	96.9%	32.7%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.5%	48.4%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.4%	81.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	45.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	97.4%	64.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	55.9%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	64.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.2%	43.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	36.9%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	97.8%	32.5%
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics		< 30%
African American	District	Mathematics	99.9%	41.6%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	100%	31.8%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.9%	67%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99.1%	55.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	100%	57.1%
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.4%	46.3%
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.7%	46.1%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.8%	31.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	99.1%	39%
All Students	School	Mathematics	98.2%	49.1%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics		< 30%
African American	School	Mathematics	97.2%	35.7%
American Indian	School	Mathematics	100%	< 30%
Asian	School	Mathematics	100%	80%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	100%	75%







Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	83.3%	< 30%
White	School	Mathematics	98.4%	48.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	98.1%	40%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	97.4%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	85.3%	46.2%
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.1%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading		51.3%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.3%	67.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	79.7%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	100.3%	90.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	77%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	97.4%	85.7%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	83.6%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.6%	74.8%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	100.5%	62.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.1%	51.8%
All Students	District	Reading	99.8%	80.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading		38.2%
African American	District	Reading	99.9%	72.2%
American Indian	District	Reading	100%	72.7%
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	99.1%	85.2%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	100%	90.5%
Two or More Races	District	Reading	99.4%	83.1%
White	District	Reading	99.8%	81.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	99.8%	75.3%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	99.9%	57.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	99.2%	58.2%
All Students	School	Reading	98.8%	77%







Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Bottom 30%	School	Reading		< 30%
African American	School	Reading	100%	72.4%
American Indian	School	Reading	100%	100%
Asian	School	Reading	100%	90%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	100%	100%
Two or More Races	School	Reading	83.3%	100%
White	School	Reading	98.8%	75.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	98.6%	70.7%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	97.4%	32.7%
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	91.2%	57.1%
All Students	Statewide	Science	97.9%	38.6%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science		< 30%
African American	Statewide	Science	94.8%	< 30%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	97.5%	< 30%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.1%	57.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	97.9%	< 30%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	93.7%	49.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.7%	35.7%
White	Statewide	Science	98.7%	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97%	< 30%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	96.5%	< 30%
All Students	District	Science	99.5%	31.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Science		< 30%
African American	District	Science	99.8%	< 30%
American Indian	District	Science	100%	< 30%
Asian	District	Science	100%	42.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	97.9%	30.8%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	100%	33.3%
Two or More Races	District	Science	98.6%	< 30%







Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	District	Science	99.4%	33.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.5%	< 30%
English Language Learners	District	Science	99.5%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	98.8%	< 30%
All Students	School	Science	98.5%	35.1%
Bottom 30%	School	Science		< 30%
African American	School	Science	97.2%	32.1%
American Indian	School	Science	100%	< 30%
Asian	School	Science	100%	55%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Science	100%	50%
Two or More Races	School	Science	83.3%	< 30%
White	School	Science	98.8%	34.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	98.1%	< 30%
English Language Learners	School	Science	97.4%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	88.2%	44.4%
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	96.7%	57.5%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies		< 30%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	92.4%	< 30%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	95.9%	52.3%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99%	73.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	96.1%	43%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	93.2%	59.7%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	97.6%	53.5%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98%	64.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.1%	40.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	96.4%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	91.9%	< 30%
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.6%	50.4%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies		< 30%
African American	District	Social Studies	99.8%	37.1%
American Indian Page 17 of 29	District	Social Studies	100%	75%







Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Asian	District	Social Studies	100%	61.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	41.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	100%	50%
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	100%	41.5%
White	District	Social Studies	99.6%	51.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.6%	39.4%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.6%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	99.7%	< 30%
All Students	School	Social Studies	99.7%	59.4%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies		< 30%
African American	School	Social Studies	98.6%	74.1%
American Indian	School	Social Studies	100%	50%
Asian	School	Social Studies	100%	73.7%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies	100%	50%
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	100%	50%
White	School	Social Studies	99.8%	56.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	99.6%	46.7%
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	99.3%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	97.1%	< 30%
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.2%	69.4%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing		< 30%
African American	Statewide	Writing	95.6%	48.8%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	61.6%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	98.9%	82.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98%	59.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	94.5%	74.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	98.9%	68.5%
White	Statewide	Writing	98.9%	74.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.3%	55.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	97.3%	42.1%







Testing Group	ng Group Location Subj		% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	96.6%	< 30%
All Students	District	Writing	99.3%	68.5%
Bottom 30%	% District Writing			< 30%
African American	District	Writing	100%	58.6%
American Indian	District	Writing	100%	69.2%
Asian	District	Writing	99.4%	77.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	68.6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	100%	77.8%
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	63.8%
White	District	Writing	99.1%	69.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	99.1%	61.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	97.1%	31.7%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	98.9%	31.1%
All Students	School	Writing	98.5%	69.2%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing		< 30%
African American	School	Writing	100%	69%
American Indian	School	Writing	100%	100%
Asian	School	Writing	95.2%	80%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	100%	75%
Two or More Races	School	Writing	100%	50%
White	School	Writing	98.4%	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	98.1%	63.5%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	93.3%	< 30%
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	100%	< 30%







### **Accountability Details Graduation Data**

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	76.2%
African American	Statewide	59.9%
American Indian	Statewide	66.4%
Asian	Statewide	87.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	64.3%
Migrant	Statewide	68.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	73.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.5%
White	Statewide	81.5%
Female	Statewide	80.8%
Male	Statewide	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	64%
English Language Learners	Statewide	63.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.5%
Homeless	Statewide	53.8%
All Students	District	77.5%
African American	District	66.2%
Asian	District	79.6%
White	District	79.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	71.4%
English Language Learners	District	51%
Students With Disabilities	District	58.1%
All Students	School	86.3%
African American	School	80.7%
White	School	87.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	81.5%
English Language Learners	School	68.4%
Students With Disabilities	School	44.7%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.







#### **Accountability Details Attendance Data**

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94%
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	95%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.







### **Accountability Status District Data**

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display







### **Accountability Status School Data**

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score		Overall Score
Warren Consolidate d Schools	Sterling Heights Senior H.S.	Focus School	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Orange	47







#### **Teacher Quality - Qualification**

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		9	65	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### **Teacher Quality - Class**

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

### **Teacher Quality - Provisional**

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%







#### **NAEP Grade 4 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male Female	50 50	21 22	42 45	31 29	6 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56	35 11	47 41	17 41	1 8
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	71 16 6 3	14 53 31 7 ‡ ‡ 23	45 39 48 22 ‡ ‡ 50	36 8 19 45 ‡ ‡	5 0 2 26 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	13 87	50 18	37 44	13 32	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	4 96	47 21	41 44	11 31	1 5

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011 Mathematics Achievement.







#### **NAEP Grade 8 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	29	40	25	6
Male Female	51 49	28 30	39 41	26 24	7 5
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	42 58	45 18	39 41	15 32	2 9
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	74 16 4 3 1	22 66 26 13 ‡ ‡	43 26 41 25 ‡ ‡	29 7 18 31 ‡	6 0 5 32 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	70 25	23 41	5 27	1 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	57 29	27 40	7 25	10 6

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2011 Mathematics Achievement.







### **NAEP Grade 4 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	34	25	6
Male Female	50 50	38 31	33 36	24 26	6 7
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55	51 21	32 36	15 33	2 10
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	70 17 6 3 0	26 67 51 19 ‡ ‡	37 24 29 33 ‡ ‡	30 7 17 33 ‡ ‡	7 1 3 15 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	13 87	73 30	17 36	8 27	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	67 33	26 35	7 25	0 7

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.







#### **NAEP Grade 8 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	45	29	3
Male Female	50 50	28 18	47 43	24 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	42 58	35 14	46 44	18 37	0 4
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	74 16 4 3 1	18 46 25 19 ‡ ‡	46 43 50 27 ‡ ‡	33 10 25 39 ‡ ‡	3 0 1 14 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	67 19	27 46	6 31	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	52 22	40 45	8 30	0 3

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2011 Reading Assessment.







### **NAEP Participation Data**

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading		2.0 3.1	73 93	3.3 2.4
8	Math Reading		2.5 3.3	83 79	4.7 4.5