

Warren Consolidated Schools

Creating Dynamic Futures through Student Achievement, High Expectations, and Strong Relationships

1.888.4WCS.KIDS www.wcskids.net Text WCSKIDS to 57780

Board of Education

Brian White, President
Elaine G. Martin, Vice President
Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary
Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer
I. Susan Kattula, Trustee
Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee
Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D.
Superintendent

WILLOW WOODS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

11001 Daniel Drive Sterling Heights, MI 48312 586.825.2850

Willow Woods Elementary School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 15, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Willow Woods Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Melissa Hardy, Principal, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a "PRIORITY" school for this year.

Willow Woods continues to revise and refine their 3-5 year school improvement plan. Our demographics continue to shift, and that shift has been challenging. Our diverse population is addressed in our 2014-15 school improvement plan. Increasing the teachers' understanding of building oral language fluency and its connection to early reading skills is crucial to our students' success. We continue to have a differentiated reading focus with tiers of support built in for students not at grade level.

Another challenge facing our at-risk population is the lack of understanding of academic vocabulary. Explicit vocabulary instruction is built into our plan in order to help close the vocabulary gap. Social studies and science have intensive focus





on specialized academic vocabulary. Within mathematics, we are building problem solving strategies using math journals. We also work on an affected goal of focusing behavior and choices in a positive manner. There is a direct correlation on students' behavior and the time for instruction.

State law requires that we also report the following additional information for the two most recent years:

1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.

- 2. The school improvement plan at Willow Woods continues to go through yearly revisions. Our student data is examined along with our achievement data. We have obtained perception data from parents, teachers, and students about learning at Willow Woods. Our team reviews the programs that have been included in our improvement plan for impact and our new plan reflects the changes that are necessary to meet the needs of our students.
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The **Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC)** is an honors program for 9th – 12th grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day. It is located at Butcher Community Center.

The **Middle School Mathematics Science Technology Center (MS)2TC** offers an advanced mathematics, science technology option for middle school students. Students who are accepted into the program will split their day between their home middle school, where they will take their social studies and two elective classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their mathematics, science and English language arts classes.

The **Middle School- School of Performing Arts (MS-WCSPA)** is an exciting, creative program that has been designed to build on the district's nationally-acclaimed, award-winning high school performing arts program (WCSPA) which is located in Sterling Heights High School. MS-WCSPA is

intended for academically-focused and creative students who seek to blend their academic experience with interest in the performing arts. Students who are accepted into the program will split their school day between their home middle school, where they will take their language arts, science, and math classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their social studies and two performing arts electives. The performing arts electives will include visual arts, music, dance, and theatre exploratory experiences.

The **Career Preparation Center (CPC)** programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11th and 12th grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

Community High School is an alternative education program for high school students. The primary objective of the school is to assist enrolled students in meeting their high school graduation requirements in a timely manner. It is located at the Flynn Educational Center along with the adult **ESL program**.

Year-round education offers many benefits for students and families such as increased student achievement and retention rates, more effective learning environment by reduced time spent reviewing, expanded enrichment and intervention opportunities for students, and expanded educational choice options for parents. Year-round education is offered at Fillmore, Siersma, and Holden Elementary Schools.

- 4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum guidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be accessed at www.wcskids.net.
- 5. The data collected from the MEAP and IOWA indicates that we need to be focusing on academic vocabulary, increasing student comprehension of nonfiction text, and being able to interpret graphs and charts. The gap that exists between many of the students that are new to Willow Woods and the students that have been at the school for multiple years continues to be a focus for determining if curriculum gaps exist, and then providing the curriculum support necessary to increase achievement.

The chart below contains data from the Iowa Assessments that was used to assess student achievement from the spring of 2014; average NPR for reading and math.

Year	Grade Level	National % Rank Math	National % Rank Reading
2013- 2014	K	63%	68%
	1	30%	38%
	2	46%	38%
	3	39%	35%
	4	35%	33%
	5	43%	43%

6. Parent Participation at Fall and Spring Conferences

YEAR	FALL	SPRING
2012-2013	90%	92%
2013-2014	92%	93%
CHANGE	+2%	+1%

The Willow Woods students and staff have worked very hard in a continuous effort to raise student achievement, and we appreciate the constant support from the Willow Woods parents and community members. We remain committed to working on the unique learning needs of our students to increase student achievement for all students.

Sincerely,

Melissa M. Hardy

Principal, Willow Woods Elementary School



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	36.3%	35.1%	44.6%	0%	44.6%	23.2%	32.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	32.6%	29.8%	6.4%	23.4%	17%	53.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2011-12	14.5%	16.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	18%	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2011-12	62.9%	45.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	65.6%	45.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	25.7%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	42.3%	36.8%	50%	0%	50%	22.9%	27.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	32.7%	31.4%	5.7%	25.7%	14.3%	54.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2011-12	34.9%	35.2%	43.3%	0%	43.3%	26.7%	30%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	31.8%	26.3%	5.3%	21.1%	10.5%	63.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	37.6%	35%	46.2%	0%	46.2%	19.2%	34.6%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	33.3%	32.1%	7.1%	25%	21.4%	46.4%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	23%	27.2%	35.3%	0%	35.3%	20.6%	44.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	25.1%	20.7%	3.4%	17.2%	17.2%	62.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	21.9%	10.6%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	18.2%	72.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	23%	11.4%	14.3%	0%	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	18.5%	10.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	13.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	39.9%	42.2%	46.9%	2%	44.9%	14.3%	38.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.1%	34.3%	18.6%	0%	18.6%	18.6%	62.7%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2011-12	15.9%	29.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	20%	23.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2011-12	68.1%	52.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	71.4%	39.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	38.6%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2011-12	46.4%	43.2%	42.1%	2.6%	39.5%	15.8%	42.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	53%	35.6%	19.6%	0%	19.6%	21.6%	58.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	38.5%	41.5%	43.5%	0%	43.5%	17.4%	39.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	45.7%	32.5%	18.8%	0%	18.8%	28.1%	53.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	41.2%	43%	50%	3.8%	46.2%	11.5%	38.5%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	46.4%	36%	18.5%	0%	18.5%	7.4%	74.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	25.3%	30.7%	33.3%	3.7%	29.6%	18.5%	48.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	31.1%	26.9%	18.4%	0%	18.4%	13.2%	68.4%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	20.9%	13.5%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	24.4%	10.3%	0%	0%	0%	6.3%	93.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	18.3%	19.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	21.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	39.6%	34.3%	55.6%	0%	55.6%	11.1%	33.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	45.7%	32.2%	37.5%	1.8%	35.7%	14.3%	48.2%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2011-12	17%	10.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	20.5%	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	74.7%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	26.2%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	37.2%	26.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	42.7%	29.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2011-12	45.7%	36.4%	59.5%	0%	59.5%	13.5%	27%
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	52.4%	32%	28.9%	2.2%	26.7%	15.6%	55.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2011-12	37.5%	31.2%	58.3%	0%	58.3%	16.7%	25%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	43.9%	31%	37%	0%	37%	11.1%	51.9%



Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	41.6%	37.2%	52.4%	0%	52.4%	4.8%	42.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	47.5%	33.3%	37.9%	3.4%	34.5%	17.2%	44.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	24.9%	21.7%	43.8%	0%	43.8%	6.3%	50%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	30.3%	21.7%	33.3%	3%	30.3%	3%	63.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	21.7%	11.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	22.9%	8.9%	12.5%	0%	12.5%	0%	87.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	16%	14.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19.9%	11.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2011-12	62.4%	58.8%	62.5%	10.7%	51.8%	28.6%	8.9%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	59.3%	48.9%	4.3%	44.7%	27.7%	23.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2011-12	38.4%	48.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	44.8%	41.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2011-12	73.7%	62.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	79%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	53.5%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2011-12	69.8%	59.9%	68.8%	12.5%	56.3%	25%	6.3%

Page 4 of 31



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	60.5%	48.6%	5.7%	42.9%	28.6%	22.9%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2011-12	65.9%	62.5%	73.3%	16.7%	56.7%	23.3%	3.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	65.4%	52.6%	5.3%	47.4%	26.3%	21.1%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2011-12	59%	55.2%	50%	3.8%	46.2%	34.6%	15.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	53.4%	46.4%	3.6%	42.9%	28.6%	25%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	49.5%	48.7%	55.9%	5.9%	50%	32.4%	11.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	52%	41.4%	0%	41.4%	37.9%	20.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	34.7%	21%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	41.5%	28.9%	21.4%	0%	21.4%	50%	28.6%
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	34.3%	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	29.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	67.7%	67.9%	65.3%	6.1%	59.2%	24.5%	10.2%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.1%	58.9%	47.4%	3.5%	43.9%	28.1%	24.6%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2011-12	45.1%	58.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	43%	47.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2011-12	81%	69.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	79.2%	64.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	66.6%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2011-12	74.4%	69.9%	60.5%	5.3%	55.3%	28.9%	10.5%
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	60.6%	51%	4.1%	46.9%	26.5%	22.4%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	71.7%	73.7%	73.9%	4.3%	69.6%	17.4%	8.7%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.1%	63.8%	63.3%	6.7%	56.7%	23.3%	13.3%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2011-12	63.7%	61.9%	57.7%	7.7%	50%	30.8%	11.5%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.1%	54.2%	29.6%	0%	29.6%	33.3%	37%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	55%	58.8%	55.6%	3.7%	51.9%	29.6%	14.8%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.1%	49%	30.6%	2.8%	27.8%	36.1%	33.3%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	38.8%	33.5%	20%	0%	20%	50%	30%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.1%	20.5%	7.1%	0%	7.1%	28.6%	64.3%
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	35%	39.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	38.3%	38.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	68.8%	67.1%	71.1%	13.3%	57.8%	17.8%	11.1%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	70.4%	60.3%	60%	7.3%	52.7%	21.8%	18.2%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2011-12	48.3%	53.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.8%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	81.5%	68.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	57%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	68.7%	62.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	70.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2011-12	74.8%	68.2%	75.7%	13.5%	62.2%	10.8%	13.5%
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	76.9%	60.5%	52.3%	9.1%	43.2%	27.3%	20.5%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2011-12	71.7%	68.4%	75%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	8.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	74.1%	67.4%	70.4%	7.4%	63%	22.2%	7.4%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	65.9%	65.8%	66.7%	9.5%	57.1%	19%	14.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	66.8%	53.3%	50%	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%	28.6%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	56.1%	55.4%	43.8%	12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	25%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.9%	52.2%	46.9%	6.3%	40.6%	31.3%	21.9%
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	36.9%	20.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	36.3%	24.6%	13.3%	0%	13.3%	46.7%	40%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	34.2%	31.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	36.6%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2011-12	15.3%	11.3%	11.1%	6.7%	4.4%	37.8%	51.1%
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	13.1%	11.9%	13.6%	3.4%	10.2%	25.4%	61%
Science	5th Grade	African American	2011-12	3.2%	3.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	2.6%	3.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	26.6%	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	6.2%	13.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2011-12	14.2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	12.2%	12.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2011-12	18.9%	12.6%	10.8%	8.1%	2.7%	37.8%	51.4%
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	16%	12.3%	12.8%	2.1%	10.6%	19.1%	68.1%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2011-12	13.6%	9.7%	20.8%	12.5%	8.3%	33.3%	45.8%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	11.6%	10.8%	10.3%	3.4%	6.9%	27.6%	62.1%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2011-12	17%	12.8%	0%	0%	0%	42.9%	57.1%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	14.5%	12.9%	16.7%	3.3%	13.3%	23.3%	60%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	7%	5.2%	6.3%	0%	6.3%	31.3%	62.5%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	5.8%	5.9%	5.6%	2.8%	2.8%	22.2%	72.2%
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	2.5%	1.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	1.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18.8%	81.3%
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	5.5%	5.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	4.1%	3.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade Testing Grou	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	--------------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------------	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	55.1%	60.9%	100%	0%	100%	0%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	57.5%	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	47%	55.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2011-12	61%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	53.4%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	-13 57.9% 56.5% <10		<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	54.6%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.5%	57.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	58.5%	48.6%	100%	33.3%	66.7%	0%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.4%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	64%	40.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	55.1%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	60.4%	45.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.7%	52%	100%	33.3%	66.7%	0%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2011-12	45.8%	36.4%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.3%	35.7%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	36.3%	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2011-12	50.6%	36.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	51.4%	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2011-12	46.6%	16.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	50.8%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	44%	29%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12 44.5% 35% <10 <		<10	<10	<10		
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	43.3%	38.2%	66.7%	0%	66.7%	33.3%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.3%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	59.8%	56.1%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	66.7%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	50.4%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	63.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	64.2%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.4%	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	58%	61.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	66.7%



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	77.5%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	81.7%	72.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	68.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	73.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade Male		2012-13	77.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male 2012-13 81.7% <10 <10 <		<10	<10	<10			
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	78.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	81.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	79.4%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	75.1%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	76.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	75.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	81.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	75.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	79.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	74.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display



MI-Access Participation

:	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
					FIUICIEIII	FIUICIEIIL	FTOTICIETIL			

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*	
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.2%	
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	8%	
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30	
African American	District	Mathematics	99.9%	41.6%	
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.9%	67%	
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99.1%	55.5%	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30	
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.4%	46.3%	
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.9%	
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.7%	46.1%	
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.8%	31.9%	
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	99.1%	39%	
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	53.4%	
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	5.3%	
African American	School	Mathematics	<30	<30	
Asian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30	
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30	
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30	
White	School	Mathematics	100%	53.9%	
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	43%	
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	100%	18.9%	
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30	
All Students	District	Reading	99.8%	80.7%	
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	38.2%	
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30	
African American	District	Reading	99.9%	72.2%	
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.5%	
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	99.1%	85.2%	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30	
Two or More Races	District	Reading	99.4%	83.1%	



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	District	Reading	99.8%	81.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	99.8%	75.3%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	99.9%	57.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	99.2%	58.2%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	79.1%
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	0%	35.1%
African American	School	Reading	<30	<30
Asian	School	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	80.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	74%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	100%	59.5%
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	District	Science	99.5%	31.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0.7%
American Indian	District	Science	<30	<30
African American	District	Science	99.8%	17.5%
Asian	District	Science	100%	42.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	97.9%	30.8%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	98.6%	26.4%
White	District	Science	99.4%	33.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.5%	20.5%
English Language Learners	District	Science	99.5%	4.5%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	98.8%	18.8%
All Students	School	Science	100%	30%
Bottom 30%	School	Science	<30	<30
African American	School	Science	<30	<30
Asian	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
Page 17 of 31		•	A service of the Center for Educa	tional Douteman and Juleans



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*	
White	School	Science	100%	30.8%	
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	<30	<30	
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30	
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30	
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.6%	50.4%	
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%	
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30	
African American	District	Social Studies	99.8%	37.1%	
Asian	District	Social Studies	100%	61.5%	
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	41.7%	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	<30	<30	
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	100%	41.5%	
White	District	Social Studies	99.6%	51.4%	
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.6%	39.4%	
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.6%	11.4%	
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	99.7%	16.9%	
All Students	School	Social Studies	0%	45.5%	
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
African American	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
White	School	Social Studies	0%	47.2%	
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30	
All Students	District	Writing	99.3%	69.2%	
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	4.6%	
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30	
African American	District	Writing	100%	59.7%	
Asian	District	Writing	99.4%	78.2%	
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	68.6%	



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	63.8%
White	District	Writing	99.1%	69.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	99.1%	61.9%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	97.1%	31.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	98.9%	34.1%
All Students	School	Writing	100%	67.9%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	<30	<30
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	100%	75.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	100%	56.3%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	76.2%
American Indian	Statewide	66.4%
African American	Statewide	59.9%
Asian	Statewide	87.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	64.3%
Migrant	Statewide	68.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	73.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.5%
White	Statewide	81.5%
Female	Statewide	80.8%
Male	Statewide	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	64%
English Language Learners	Statewide	63.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.5%
Homeless	Statewide	53.8%
All Students	District	77.5%
African American	District	66.2%
Asian	District	79.6%
White	District	79.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	71.4%
English Language Learners	District	51%
Students With Disabilities	District	58.1%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	96%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Status District Data

District Name			Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score		Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score	
------------------	--	--	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	--	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--

No Data to Display



Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status		Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Consolidate d Schools	Willow Woods Elementary School		Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Yellow	32



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		4	24	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading				5.2 4.0