

# Warren Consolidated Schools

Creating Dynamic Futures through Student Achievement, High Expectations, and Strong Relationships

1.888.4WCS.KIDS www weskids net Text WCSKIDS to 57780

#### **Board of Education**

Brian White, President Elaine G. Martin, Vice President Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer I. Susan Kattula, Trustee Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

> Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D. Superintendent

#### 2899 Fox Hill Drive Sterling Heights, MI 48310

586.825.2900

August 15, 2014

### Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the North Star Academy. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Paul Yestrepsky, Principal, for assistance.

**North Star Academy** 

**Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter** 

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual\_report/aer or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has not been identified as a "REWARD," "FOCUS" OR "PRIORITY" school this year.

Due to budgetary consolidation North Star Academy was in operation for only the first semester of the 20013-2014 school year. The transitory nature of the student enrollment presents challenges in the design and implementation of instructional delivery. Key initiatives implemented to facilitate increased student achievement were: (1) the weekly student performance rubric with accompanying parental involvement and an incentive program; (2) increased emphasis on reading and writing across the curriculum; and (3) dedicated daily focus to instructional delivery and high student expectations.





State law requires that we also report the following additional information for the two most recent years:

PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.

- 2. North Star was in year 5 of the school improvement process. Focusing on closing the gap academically and behaviorally.
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The **Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC)** is an honors program for 9<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day. It is located at Butcher Community Center.

The **Middle School Mathematics Science Technology Center (MS)2TC** offers an advanced mathematics, science technology option for middle school students. Students who are accepted into the program will split their day between their home middle school, where they will take their social studies and two elective classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their mathematics, science and English language arts classes.

The **Middle School- School of Performing Arts (MS-WCSPA)** is an exciting, creative program that has been designed to build on the district's nationally-acclaimed, award-winning high school performing arts program (WCSPA) which is located in Sterling Heights High School. MS-WCSPA is intended for academically-focused and creative students who seek to blend their academic experience with interest in the performing arts. Students who are accepted into the program will split their school day between their home middle school, where they will take their language arts, science, and math classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their social studies and two performing arts electives. The performing arts electives will include visual arts, music, dance, and theatre exploratory experiences.

The **Career Preparation Center (CPC)** programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional

college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

**Community High School** is an alternative education program for high school students. The primary objective of the school is to assist enrolled students in meeting their high school graduation requirements in a timely manner. It is located at the Flynn Educational Center along with the adult **ESL program**.

**Year-round education** offers many benefits for students and families such as increased student achievement and retention rates, more effective learning environment by reduced time spent reviewing, expanded enrichment and intervention opportunities for students, and expanded educational choice options for parents. Year-round education is offered at Fillmore, Siersma, and Holden Elementary Schools.

- 4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum guidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be accessed at <a href="https://www.wcskids.net">www.wcskids.net</a>.
- 5. Program Data from the IOWA and MEAP is not attainable due to the closing of the program and the redistribution of students back to their home schools and to other educational opportunities such as on-line learning.

6. Parent Participation at fall and spring Conferences

YEAR	FALL	SPRING
2012-2013	72%	43%
2013-2014	49%	N/A
CHANGE	-23%	N/A

North Star Academy staff and parents were committed to providing an alternative educational environment for students who have not been successful in the traditional environment. Staff, parent, and student satisfaction indicates that the strong and positive staff-student relationships are an integral part of student success. While academic deficits are prominent in nearly all North Star Academy students, teachers focused on the positives of each student and how they learn best.

Congratulations to the North Star Academy staff for their efforts in improving student achievement and creating a learning environment in which students believe in themselves and their abilities.

Sincerely,

Paul Yestrepsky
Director of Alternative Education



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	7th Grade	All Students	2011-12	37.2%	33.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	All Students	2012-13	38.1%	26.3%	7.7%	0%	7.7%	0%	92.3%
Mathematics	7th Grade	African American	2011-12	13.4%	24.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	African American	2012-13	15.4%	11%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	34.5%	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	White	2011-12	43.6%	34.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	White	2012-13	44.2%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Female	2011-12	38%	34.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Female	2012-13	38.8%	29.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Male	2011-12	36.5%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Male	2012-13	37.5%	23.2%	10%	0%	10%	0%	90%
Mathematics	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	21.6%	21.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	22.5%	18.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	14%	7.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	7th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	8.6%	11.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	All Students	2011-12	29.4%	25.4%	5.3%	0%	5.3%	15.8%	78.9%
Mathematics	8th Grade	All Students	2012-13	34.5%	25.8%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	0%	93.3%
Mathematics Page 1 of 26	8th Grade	African American	2011-12	9.1%	9.6%	<10	<10 A se	<10	≤10 or Educational Perform	<10 nance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	8th Grade	African American	2012-13	11.9%	17.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	16.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	White	2011-12	34.6%	27%	10%	0%	10%	30%	60%
Mathematics	8th Grade	White	2012-13	40.4%	26.6%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	0%	90.9%
Mathematics	8th Grade	Female	2011-12	28%	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Female	2012-13	34.1%	24.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	Male	2011-12	30.7%	27.2%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	13.3%	80%
Mathematics	8th Grade	Male	2012-13	34.9%	26.6%	8.3%	0%	8.3%	0%	91.7%
Mathematics	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	15.3%	16.8%	6.7%	0%	6.7%	20%	73.3%
Mathematics	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	18.7%	15%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	0%	90.9%
Mathematics	8th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	10.9%	6.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	8th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	11%	2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	All Students	2011-12	59.7%	56%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	All Students	2012-13	62%	54%	15.4%	0%	15.4%	23.1%	61.5%
Reading	7th Grade	African American	2011-12	34.7%	43%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	African American	2012-13	36.8%	35.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	7th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	61.8%	52.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	White	2011-12	66.9%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	White	2012-13	69.5%	55.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Female	2011-12	63.9%	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Female	2012-13	65.3%	57.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Male	2011-12	55.6%	51.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Male	2012-13	58.8%	50.2%	10%	0%	10%	20%	70%
Reading	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	44.5%	42%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	47.4%	45.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	20.9%	12.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	7th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2011-12	19%	20.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	All Students	2011-12	60.5%	57.3%	26.3%	0%	26.3%	36.8%	36.8%
Reading	8th Grade	All Students	2012-13	65.7%	58.4%	35.3%	0%	35.3%	41.2%	23.5%
Reading	8th Grade	African American	2011-12	39.4%	49.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	African American	2012-13	45.2%	52.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	White	2011-12	66.5%	57.2%	30%	0%	30%	30%	40%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	8th Grade	White	2012-13	71.2%	58.9%	41.7%	0%	41.7%	33.3%	25%
Reading	8th Grade	Female	2011-12	65.3%	62%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Female	2012-13	70.1%	64.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	Male	2011-12	55.9%	53%	26.7%	0%	26.7%	33.3%	40%
Reading	8th Grade	Male	2012-13	61.5%	52.9%	38.5%	0%	38.5%	38.5%	23.1%
Reading	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	46.7%	47.7%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	20%	46.7%
Reading	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53%	46.9%	25%	0%	25%	50%	25%
Reading	8th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	24.8%	15.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	8th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	31.6%	12.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	All Students	2011-12	16.5%	16.1%	0%	0%	0%	5.3%	94.7%
Science	8th Grade	All Students	2012-13	15.9%	13%	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%
Science	8th Grade	African American	2011-12	3.3%	6.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	African American	2012-13	3.3%	5.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2011-12	7.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	White	2011-12	20.1%	17.2%	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%
Science	8th Grade	White	2012-13	19.3%	13.5%	0%	0%	0%	27.3%	72.7%
Science	8th Grade	Female	2011-12	13.8%	11.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	8th Grade	Female	2012-13	13.7%	10.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	Male	2011-12	19%	19.9%	0%	0%	0%	6.7%	93.3%
Science	8th Grade	Male	2012-13	18%	15.6%	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%
Science	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2011-12	7.7%	8.8%	0%	0%	0%	6.7%	93.3%
Science	8th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	7.4%	6.3%	0%	0%	0%	18.2%	81.8%
Science	8th Grade	English Language Learners	2011-12	2.9%	0.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	8th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	2.1%	0.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



### Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Students Students (Level 1) (Level 2) Proficient Pro	Subject (	e Testing Group	rade Testing Group School Year		Students		(Level 2)	Proficient	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
--	-----------	-----------------	--------------------------------	--	----------	--	-----------	------------	----------------------------------



### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject Grade Testing Group School Year	State % District % Students Students Proficient Proficient	School % % Exceeded Students Proficient	% Met % Progressing
---	--	---	---------------------



### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

	% Emerging (Level 3)
--	-------------------------



### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------



### **MI-Access Participation**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	8%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	District	Mathematics	99.9%	41.6%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.9%	67%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	99.1%	55.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	99.4%	46.3%
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	54.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.7%	46.1%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.8%	31.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	99.1%	39%
All Students	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	District	Reading	99.8%	80.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	38.2%
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30
African American	District	Reading	99.9%	72.2%
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	99.1%	85.2%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	99.4%	83.1%
White	District	Reading	99.8%	81.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	99.8%	75.3%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	99.9%	57.4%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	99.2%	58.2%
All Students	School	Reading	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	<30	<30
African American	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	District	Science	99.5%	31.7%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0.7%
American Indian	District	Science	<30	<30
African American	District	Science	99.8%	17.5%
Asian	District	Science	100%	42.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	97.9%	30.8%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	98.6%	26.4%
White	District	Science	99.4%	33.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.5%	20.5%
English Language Learners	District	Science	99.5%	4.5%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	98.8%	18.8%
All Students	School	Science	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Science	<30	<30
African American	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.6%	50.4%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	99.8%	37.1%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Asian	District	Social Studies	100%	61.5%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	41.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	100%	41.5%
White	District	Social Studies	99.6%	51.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.6%	39.4%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.6%	11.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	99.7%	16.9%
All Students	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	District	Writing	99.3%	69.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	4.6%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
African American	District	Writing	100%	59.7%
Asian	District	Writing	99.4%	78.2%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	68.6%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	100%	63.8%
White	District	Writing	99.1%	69.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	99.1%	61.9%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	97.1%	31.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	98.9%	34.1%
All Students	School	Writing		
African American	School	Writing		
Two or More Races	School	Writing		
White	School	Writing		
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing		



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
English Language Learners	School	Writing		



## **Accountability Details Graduation Data**

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	76.2%
American Indian	Statewide	66.4%
African American	Statewide	59.9%
Asian	Statewide	87.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	64.3%
Migrant	Statewide	68.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	73.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.5%
White	Statewide	81.5%
Female	Statewide	80.8%
Male	Statewide	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	64%
English Language Learners	Statewide	63.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.5%
Homeless	Statewide	53.8%
All Students	District	77.5%
African American	District	66.2%
Asian	District	79.6%
White	District	79.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	71.4%
English Language Learners	District	51%
Students With Disabilities	District	58.1%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



### **Accountability Details Attendance Data**

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	District	95%
All Students	School	85%

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



### **Accountability Status District Data**

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score		Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	--	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------



### **Accountability Status School Data**

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Warren Consolidate d Schools	North Star Academy		Green	2			Green	2	Red	0	Red	0	Red	4



### **Teacher Quality - Qualification**

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		2	6	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### **Teacher Quality - Class**

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### **Teacher Quality - Provisional**

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



#### **NAEP Grade 4 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### **NAEP Grade 8 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### **NAEP Grade 12 Math**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



### **NAEP Grade 4 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



### **NAEP Grade 8 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0 2	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



### **NAEP Grade 12 Reading**

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



## **NAEP Participation Data**

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73			2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading				5.2 4.0