

Warren Consolidated Schools

Creating Dynamic Futures through Student Achievement, High Expectations, and Strong Relationships

1.888.4WCS.KIDS www.wcskids.net Text WCSKIDS to 57780

Board of Education

Brian White, President
Elaine G. Martin, Vice President
Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary
Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer
I. Susan Kattula, Trustee
Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee
Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D. Superintendent

IRMA M. CROMIE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 29797 Gilbert Drive

Warren, MI 48093 586.574.3160

August 15, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Cromie Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Kerry Keener, Principal, for assistance.

Cromie Elementary School

Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a "PRIORITY" school for this school year.

As part of our focus to improve student achievement for the upcoming school year, we have implemented guided reading and guided math groups within classrooms three to five days per week. Teachers will also utilize a Tier II intervention model with flexible grouping for at-risk students already being provided intense small group instruction in areas of reading and math. Teachers meet quarterly with support staff and administrator to closely monitor the progress of all students and re-evaluate the intervention strategies to be implemented. Cromie also used a Leveled Literacy Intervention program which includes a take home reading program for students, and conducted Title I after school programs for students and families.





State law requires that we also report the following additional information for the two most recent years:

1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.

- 2. Cromie is in the third year of our five-year school improvement plan. The goals at Cromie are in the areas of math, reading, writing, science, and social studies. All staff will be involved in working with students to increase math achievement, reading comprehension with a focus on informational text, especially within the content areas of science, social studies, and writing proficiency. Teachers and staff at Cromie Elementary School will provide parent and student workshops that focus on reading, writing, and math, continue guided reading in all classes, continue to develop classroom literacy libraries and mentor texts, designate a literacy block and implement a guided math block.
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The **Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC)** is an honors program for 9th – 12th grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day. It is located at Butcher Community Center.

The Middle School Mathematics Science Technology Center (MS)2TC offers an advanced mathematics, science technology option for middle school students. Students who are accepted into the program will split their day between their home middle school, where they will take their social studies and two elective classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their mathematics, science and English language arts classes.

The **Middle School- School of Performing Arts (MS-WCSPA)** is an exciting, creative program that has been designed to build on the district's nationally-acclaimed, award-winning high school performing arts program (WCSPA) which is located in Sterling Heights High School. MS-WCSPA is intended for academically-focused and creative students who seek to blend their academic experience with interest in the performing arts. Students who

are accepted into the program will split their school day between their home middle school, where they will take their language arts, science, and math classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their social studies and two performing arts electives. The performing arts electives will include visual arts, music, dance, and theatre exploratory experiences.

The **Career Preparation Center (CPC)** programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11th and 12th grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

Community High School is an alternative education program for high school students. The primary objective of the school is to assist enrolled students in meeting their high school graduation requirements in a timely manner. It is located at the Flynn Educational Center along with the adult **ESL program**.

Year-round education offers many benefits for students and families such as increased student achievement and retention rates, more effective learning environment by reduced time spent reviewing, expanded enrichment and intervention opportunities for students, and expanded educational choice options for parents. Year-round education is offered at Fillmore, Siersma, and Holden Elementary Schools.

- 4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum guidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be accessed at www.wcskids.net.
- 5. Cromie students were administered the IOWA assessment in the fall and spring of the 2013-2014 school year. Students made growth in the areas of reading and math as follows:

Growth Based on Average Standard Score (Fall/Spring/Increase) 2013-14

		g_{i}
Grade	Reading	Math
Kindergarten	129/135/+6	129/138/+9
First	137/147/+10	136/148/+12
Second	153/162/+9	151/163/+12
Third	166/179/+13	167/179/+12
Fourth	182/187/+5	182/187/+5
Fifth	197/207/+10	199/206/+7

2012-13

Grade	Reading	Math
Kindergarten	N/A	N/A
First	137/149/+8	137/148/+9
Second	169/181/+12	151/170/+19
Third	166/181/+15	166/180/+14
Fourth	182/197/+15	180/194/+14
Fifth	197/206/+9	196/205/+9

6. Parent Participation at Fall and Spring Conferences

YEAR	FALL	SPRING
2012-2013	93%	90%
2013-2014	93%	84%
CHANGE	0%	6%

Cromie Elementary School students, staff, and community are eager to continue the mission to develop a meaningful, nurturing learning environment that will inspire students to evolve to "Be The Best That You Can Be." Some staff members attended yearlong conferences and study sessions with writing experts from across the country. These building leaders shared the information that they gained with the rest of the staff at Professional Learning Community meetings and monthly staff meetings. We have purchased a new leveled literacy intervention kit and grade level math supplies that are aligned with Common Core State Standards.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kerry R. Keener, Ed. S. Principal, Cromie Elementary School



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	32.6%	30%	2%	28%	31%	39%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	40.1%	26.4%	22.3%	4.5%	17.9%	30.4%	47.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	18%	20.8%	18.8%	0%	18.8%	12.5%	68.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	15.5%	4.5%	0%	4.5%	9.1%	86.4%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	65.6%	45.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	66%	34.4%	20%	0%	20%	30%	50%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	25.7%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	26.3%	27.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	40%	21.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	38.1%	28.9%	30%	0%	30%	30%	40%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	32.7%	33.3%	2.8%	30.6%	38.9%	27.8%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	46.6%	26.4%	27.9%	7.4%	20.6%	36.8%	35.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	31.8%	35.4%	0%	35.4%	33.3%	31.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	39.7%	23.8%	17.6%	0%	17.6%	33.8%	48.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	33.3%	25%	3.8%	21.2%	28.8%	46.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	40.6%	28.9%	29.5%	11.4%	18.2%	25%	45.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	25.1%	17.3%	0%	17.3%	28.8%	53.8%
Mathematics Page 1 of 36	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	26.9%	17.4%	10.7%	1.8% A se	8.9% vice of the Center for	23.2% r Educational Perform	66.1%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	23%	11.4%	0%	0%	0%	14.3%	85.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	26.4%	6.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	13.7%	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	22.2%	16.4%	20%	10%	10%	0%	80%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.1%	34.3%	23.7%	1.8%	21.9%	13.2%	63.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.3%	30.6%	24.8%	2.9%	21.9%	15.2%	60%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	20%	23.1%	19%	0%	19%	4.8%	76.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	19%	11.1%	0%	11.1%	11.1%	77.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	71.4%	39.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2013-14	69.2%	37.9%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	33.3%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	44.3%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.8%	22.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	53%	35.6%	23.8%	2.5%	21.3%	16.3%	60%
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	52.9%	31%	28.8%	1.4%	27.4%	17.8%	53.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	45.7%	32.5%	23.2%	1.8%	21.4%	17.9%	58.9%



Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	43.4%	28.8%	22.4%	2%	20.4%	20.4%	57.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	46.4%	36%	24.1%	1.7%	22.4%	8.6%	67.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	47.2%	32.3%	26.8%	3.6%	23.2%	10.7%	62.5%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	31.1%	26.9%	16.1%	0%	16.1%	10.7%	73.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	22%	12.7%	1.8%	10.9%	9.1%	78.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	24.4%	10.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	23.1%	6.7%	0%	0%	0%	11.1%	88.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	21.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	23.2%	8.6%	20%	10%	10%	10%	70%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	45.7%	32.2%	20%	0%	20%	20%	60%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.2%	32.1%	23.3%	0%	23.3%	20.8%	55.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	20.5%	23.4%	6.3%	0%	6.3%	18.8%	75%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	20%	15%	19%	0%	19%	9.5%	71.4%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	74.7%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	73.6%	43.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	31.7%	16.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Page 3 of 36



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	32.3%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	42.7%	29.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.2%	7.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	52.4%	32%	18.8%	0%	18.8%	22.4%	58.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2013-14	51.7%	33.7%	23%	0%	23%	24.1%	52.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	43.9%	31%	17.4%	0%	17.4%	13%	69.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	44.7%	31.3%	26.2%	0%	26.2%	21.3%	52.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	47.5%	33.3%	21.7%	0%	21.7%	24.6%	53.6%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	45.7%	32.9%	20.3%	0%	20.3%	20.3%	59.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	30.3%	21.7%	11.3%	0%	11.3%	22.6%	66%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	24.5%	13.8%	0%	13.8%	20.7%	65.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	22.9%	8.9%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	9.1%	81.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	23.1%	8.2%	0%	0%	0%	7.7%	92.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19.9%	11.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics Page 4 of 36	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	20%	18.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	59.3%	52.5%	1%	51.5%	29.7%	17.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.3%	48.2%	50.5%	7.2%	43.2%	34.2%	15.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	44.8%	41.9%	37.5%	0%	37.5%	31.3%	31.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	37.3%	39.8%	27.3%	0%	27.3%	50%	22.7%
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	79%	65%	50%	0%	50%	10%	40%
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	76.2%	58.2%	40%	10%	30%	50%	10%
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	53.5%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	46.9%	40.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	67.6%	57.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	61.8%	55.3%	60%	10%	50%	30%	10%
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	60.5%	56.9%	1.4%	55.6%	30.6%	12.5%
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	68.8%	47.5%	58.2%	9%	49.3%	26.9%	14.9%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	65.4%	55.1%	2%	53.1%	34.7%	10.2%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	64.1%	48.9%	47.1%	4.4%	42.6%	35.3%	17.6%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	53.4%	50%	0%	50%	25%	25%
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	58.6%	47.6%	55.8%	11.6%	44.2%	32.6%	11.6%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	52%	47.2%	1.9%	45.3%	26.4%	26.4%
Reading Page 5 of 36	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	47.9%	39.3%	32.7%	0% A se	32.7% rivice of the Center fo	47.3%	20% nance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	41.5%	28.9%	20%	0%	20%	26.7%	53.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	37.2%	14.3%	0%	0%	0%	80%	20%
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	29.4%	27.3%	0%	27.3%	27.3%	45.5%
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	35.1%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.1%	58.9%	57.5%	0.9%	56.6%	31%	11.5%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	70%	58.2%	48.1%	2.9%	45.2%	30.8%	21.2%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	43%	47.7%	42.9%	0%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	47.6%	50.5%	38.9%	0%	38.9%	22.2%	38.9%
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	79.2%	64.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2013-14	81.1%	58.7%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	57.5%	52.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	68.7%	43.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	71.2%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	60.6%	60.8%	0%	60.8%	32.9%	6.3%
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	76.5%	58.5%	52.1%	4.1%	47.9%	32.9%	15.1%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.1%	63.8%	62.5%	1.8%	60.7%	30.4%	7.1%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	73%	64.2%	55.1%	6.1%	49%	24.5%	20.4%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.1%	54.2%	52.6%	0%	52.6%	31.6%	15.8%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	67%	52.7%	41.8%	0%	41.8%	36.4%	21.8%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.1%	49%	45.6%	1.8%	43.9%	31.6%	22.8%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	57.3%	49.5%	35.2%	0%	35.2%	29.6%	35.2%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.1%	20.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	42.9%	25.5%	16.7%	0%	16.7%	44.4%	38.9%
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	38.3%	38.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.6%	21.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	70.4%	60.3%	51.8%	3.5%	48.2%	27.2%	21.1%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	71.7%	63.8%	62.2%	13.4%	48.7%	27.7%	10.1%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.8%	54.5%	26.7%	0%	26.7%	33.3%	40%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	48.7%	55%	57.1%	9.5%	47.6%	23.8%	19%
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	81.5%	68.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	80%	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading Page 7 of 36	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	58.1%	41.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	60.8%	47.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	70.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	72.6%	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	76.9%	60.5%	54.1%	3.5%	50.6%	27.1%	18.8%
Reading	5th Grade	White	2013-14	78.2%	64.3%	61.6%	12.8%	48.8%	30.2%	8.1%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	74.1%	67.4%	64.4%	4.4%	60%	22.2%	13.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.2%	67.5%	65%	21.7%	43.3%	26.7%	8.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	66.8%	53.3%	43.5%	2.9%	40.6%	30.4%	26.1%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	69.2%	60.2%	59.3%	5.1%	54.2%	28.8%	11.9%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.9%	52.2%	48.1%	3.8%	44.2%	28.8%	23.1%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	55.2%	55.2%	10.3%	44.8%	27.6%	17.2%
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	36.3%	24.6%	18.2%	0%	18.2%	27.3%	54.5%
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	39.2%	21.6%	15.4%	0%	15.4%	30.8%	53.8%
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	36.6%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading Page 8 of 36	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.1%	43.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	13.1%	11.9%	4.3%	0%	4.3%	29.1%	66.7%
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	16.8%	6.5%	7.4%	3.3%	4.1%	35.2%	57.4%
Science	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	2.6%	3.1%	0%	0%	0%	11.8%	88.2%
Science	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	34.8%	65.2%
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	26.6%	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	30.1%	8.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	5.4%	8.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	7.7%	11.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	12.2%	12.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	15.2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	16%	12.3%	3.5%	0%	3.5%	33.7%	62.8%
Science	5th Grade	White	2013-14	20.7%	6.9%	8%	2.3%	5.7%	34.5%	57.5%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	11.6%	10.8%	2.1%	0%	2.1%	34%	63.8%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	15.9%	7.1%	11.5%	4.9%	6.6%	34.4%	54.1%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	14.5%	12.9%	5.7%	0%	5.7%	25.7%	68.6%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	17.7%	5.9%	3.3%	1.6%	1.6%	36.1%	60.7%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	5.8%	5.9%	0%	0%	0%	27.8%	72.2%
Science Page 9 of 36	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	8%	4.2%	5.1%	1.7% A se	3.4%	27.1%	67.8% nance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	1.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18.2%	81.8%
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	2.9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7.7%	92.3%
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	4.1%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%	18.2%	81.8%
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.6%	0.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	63.7%	60%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.9%	81.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	68.3%	57.1%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	66%	77.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	58.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	66.4%	58.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	64.4%	88.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	62.4%	57.1%	50%	0%	50%	50%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	60.9%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	57.5%	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	55.3%	37.9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	47%	55.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	46.3%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	59.8%	25%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	53.7%	36.4%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.9%	56.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics Page 12 of 36	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	56.2%	38.9%	<10	<10 A service of the Cente	<10 er for Educational Perfo	<10 mance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.5%	57.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	53.4%	33.3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	46.2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	58.5%	48.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	56.8%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.4%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	44.8%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	64%	40.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	55.1%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	60.4%	45.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	58.9%	73.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.7%	52%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	54.1%	55.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	39.3%	32%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	38.7%	47.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	30.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	42.8%	38.9%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading Page 13 of 36	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	42.1%	53.3%	<10	<10 A service of the Cente	<10 er for Educational Perfo	<10 mance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	41.5%	22.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	38.3%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	38.9%	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	34.6%	22.2%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	34.6%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	31.3%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.3%	35.7%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.6%	30.8%	20%	0%	20%	80%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	36.3%	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	35.2%	30%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	49.5%	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	51.4%	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	50.1%	28%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	50.8%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	46.2%	38.5%	33.3%	0%	33.3%	66.7%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	44%	29%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	45.4%	26.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	43.3%	38.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	41.3%	37%	20%	0%	20%	80%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	32.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	59.8%	56.1%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	59.8%	47.7%	50%	50%	0%	50%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	50.4%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	48.5%	42.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	63.4%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2013-14	65%	48.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	64.2%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	65.6%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.4%	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	56.7%	42.4%	33.3%	33.3%	0%	66.7%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	58%	61.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	56.9%	45.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------



MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------



MI-Access Participation

,	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
					FIUICIEIIL	FIUICIEIII	FIUICIEIIL			



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	0%	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.6%	52%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	10.3%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	District	Mathematics	99.6%	36.2%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.7%	63%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	100%	50%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	98.3%	48.2%
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	53.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.6%	44.4%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.4%	29.8%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	98.7%	34.8%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	46.5%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	9.3%
African American	School	Mathematics	100%	23.6%
Asian	School	Mathematics	100%	53.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	School	Mathematics	100%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	30.5%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	100%	20%
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	100%	25.6%
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	0%	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	99.7%	81.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	42.5%
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30
African American	District	Reading	99.7%	72.8%
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	100%	81.1%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	98.3%	83.4%
White	District	Reading	99.8%	82.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	99.8%	75.8%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	99.8%	58.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	99.4%	55.9%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	83.3%
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	0%	46.5%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
African American	School	Reading	100%	68.1%
Asian	School	Reading	100%	78.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	87.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	73.5%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	100%	65%
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	100%	53.5%
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	0%	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	99.5%	31.4%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0.1%
American Indian	District	Science	<30	<30
African American	District	Science	99.4%	15.9%
Asian	District	Science	99.7%	37%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	97.9%	23.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	95.3%	29.8%
White	District	Science	99.6%	33.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.4%	22.2%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
English Language Learners	District	Science	98.8%	4.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	98.7%	14.8%
All Students	School	Science	100%	22.3%
Bottom 30%	School	Science	0%	0%
African American	School	Science	<30	<30
Asian	School	Science	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	100%	25.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	100%	15.7%
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	0%	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.3%	49.3%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	98.6%	38.6%
Asian	District	Social Studies	99.7%	60.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	30.8%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	97.3%	52.5%
White	District	Social Studies	99.4%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.2%	40.1%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.4%	16.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	96.8%	19.2%
All Students	School	Social Studies	0%	30.4%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	0%	0%
African American	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Asian	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	0%	31.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	0%	24.5%
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	0%	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	98.7%	71.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	7.6%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
African American	District	Writing	99.8%	59.3%
Asian	District	Writing	97.8%	78.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	70.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	97.6%	81.2%
White	District	Writing	98.7%	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	98%	63.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	95.7%	40.1%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	99.4%	34.1%
All Students	School	Writing	100%	60.6%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	0%	0%
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	100%	66.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	100%	44.9%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	78.2%
African American	District	77.2%
Asian	District	85%
White	District	78.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	70.7%
English Language Learners	District	54%
Students With Disabilities	District	64.5%
Bottom 30%	District	78.5%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	96%
All Students	School	96%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score		Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	--	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------



Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score		Overall Score
Warren Consolidate d Schools	Cromie Elementary School	,	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Red	0	Green	2	Red	34



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		7	29	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0	6 0 1 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading				5.2 4.0