# Warren Consolidated Schools

Creating Dynamic Futures through Student Achievement, High Expectations, and Strong Relationships

**C**S

MARGARET BLACK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

14100 Heritage Road Sterling Heights, MI 48312 586.825.2840 1.888.4WCS.KIDS www.wcskids.net Text WCSKIDS to 57780

**Board of Education** 

Brian White, President Elaine G. Martin, Vice President Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer I. Susan Kattula, Trustee Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

> Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D. Superintendent

### Black Elementary School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 15, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for Black Elementary School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Mary Caruso, Principal, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <u>http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer</u> or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a "FOCUS" school this year.

A key challenge for our school, based on MEAP data, indicates declining proficiency in reading, and a variable trend in mathematics proficiency within our subgroups. Fourth grade MEAP data indicates a declining proficiency in reading, mathematics, and writing proficiency within our subgroups.

Key initiatives for the upcoming school year include strengthening Tier I instruction using Classroom Instruction That Works, and utilizing Thinking Maps across the curriculum. We will also supplement instruction for students that need Tier II and Tier III support. The actions that can be taken to address the differences in sub group achievement include: PLC SMART goals with targeted assistance for our Tier

International District Accreditation





II students, professional development in the Common Core State Standards, Title I targeted assistance, Title III ELA instruction, character education in the form of Seven Habits of Happy Kids, differentiated instruction within the classroom, free and reduced breakfast and lunch program, health and medical services, extended learning opportunities, literacy take-home bags, summer reading program, parent curriculum night, book club, RAZ Kids, Reading A to Z, Brain POP, literacy library, Versatiles, guided reading, math manipulatives, small group instruction, peer tutoring, and technology integration.

State law requires that we also report the following additional information for the two most recent years:

1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL

Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.

- During the 2013-2014 school year the staff collectively had a rigorous commitment to polish the school improvement process to reflect the qualities of a Professional Learning Community. The academic areas of reading writing and math were examined using multiple sources of data to create school improvement goals for the building. The focus will continue to be on math, reading, writing, science, and social studies.
  - a. Math Goal: 3<sup>rd</sup> grade scores increased 2%, 4<sup>th</sup> grade scores increased 5%, 5<sup>th</sup> grade scores decreased 16%
  - b. Reading Goal: 3<sup>rd</sup> grade scores decreased 6%, 4<sup>th</sup> grade scores increased 20%, 5<sup>th</sup> grade scores deceased 9%
  - c. Writing Goal: 4<sup>th</sup> grade scores increased 7%
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The **Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC)** is an honors program for 9<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day. It is located at Butcher Community Center.

The **Middle School Mathematics Science Technology Center (MS)2TC** offers an advanced mathematics, science technology option for middle school students. Students who are accepted into the program will split their day

between their home middle school, where they will take their social studies and two elective classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their mathematics, science and English language arts classes.

The **Middle School- School of Performing Arts (MS-WCSPA)** is an exciting, creative program that has been designed to build on the district's nationally-acclaimed, award-winning high school performing arts program (WCSPA) which is located in Sterling Heights High School. MS-WCSPA is intended for academically-focused and creative students who seek to blend their academic experience with interest in the performing arts. Students who are accepted into the program will split their school day between their home middle school, where they will take their language arts, science, and math classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their social studies and two performing arts electives. The performing arts electives will include visual arts, music, dance, and theatre exploratory experiences.

The **Career Preparation Center (CPC)** programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

**Community High School** is an alternative education program for high school students. The primary objective of the school is to assist enrolled students in meeting their high school graduation requirements in a timely manner. It is located at the Flynn Educational Center along with the adult **ESL program**.

**Year-round education** offers many benefits for students and families such as increased student achievement and retention rates, more effective learning environment by reduced time spent reviewing, expanded enrichment and intervention opportunities for students, and expanded educational choice options for parents. Year-round education is offered at Fillmore, Siersma, and Holden Elementary Schools.

- 4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum guidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be accessed at <u>www.wcskids.net</u>.
- 5. All students in grades 1-5 were given the IOWA assessment in the fall and again in the spring. The chart below gives reading and math scores including the average growth in months for each grade.

#### **IOWA Assessment**

2013-2014	Fall Reading	Spring Reading	Growth
Grade 1	1.5	2.2	9 months
Grade 2	2.2	3.0	10 months
Grade 3	3.0	3.7	7 months
Grade 4	4.0	5.0	12 months
Grade 5	4.8	5.6	10 months

2013-2014	Fall Math	Spring Math	Growth
Grade1	1.4	2.4	12 months
Grade2	1.8	2.8	12 months
Grade 3	3.0	4.3	15 months
Grade 4	4.1	5.2	13 months
Grade 5	5.2	6.1	11 months

#### Spring DRA scores for the past 2 years:

-1-0				
2013-2014	Intervention	Below Level	At Level	Above Level
Kindergarten	2%	6%	25%	67%
1 <sup>st</sup> Grade	4%	11%	34%	51%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade	15%	25%	21%	39%
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	8%	22%	35%	35%
4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	0%	14%	26%	60%
5 <sup>th</sup> Grade	3%	6%	22%	69%

2012-2013	Intervention	Below Level	At Level	Above Level
Kindergarten	0%	15%	49%	36%
1st Grade	0%	11%	23%	66%
2nd Grade	2%	20%	28%	50%
3rd Grade	10%	10%	43%	37%
4th Grade	0%	21*	32%	47%
5th Grade	4%	5%	28%	63%

#### 6. Parent Participation at Fall and Spring Conferences

or rarene rarene pation at ra	in and opining connerences	
YEAR	FALL	SPRING
2012-2013	98%	84%
2013-2014	97%	69%
CHANGE	-1%	-15%

Progress monitoring will be done through an ongoing dialogue of student work/assessment results. On-going meetings will be convened to monitor implementation and impact of the plan. Continuous strengthening of a multi-tiered model of instruction and intervention will take place through an MTSS program. I look forward to partnering with families and community members to provide a positive atmosphere for motivating and challenging students to do their personal best.

Sincerely,

Mary Caruso Principal, Black Elementary School



### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	40.9%	32.6%	41.2%	3.9%	37.3%	21.6%	37.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	40.1%	26.4%	43.2%	5.4%	37.8%	21.6%	35.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	American Indian	2012-13	30.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	18%	20.8%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	0%	63.6%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	15.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	65.6%	45.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	66%	34.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	25.7%	35.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	26.3%	27.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	40%	21.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	38.1%	28.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	47.4%	32.7%	42.4%	3%	39.4%	27.3%	30.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	46.6%	26.4%	40%	0%	40%	30%	30%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	39.8%	31.8%	29.6%	3.7%	25.9%	29.6%	40.7%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	39.7%	23.8%	35.3%	0%	35.3%	23.5%	41.2%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	42%	33.3%	54.2%	4.2%	50%	12.5%	33.3%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	40.6%	28.9%	50%	10%	40%	20%	30%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	26.8%	25.1%	47.6%	4.8%	42.9%	4.8%	47.6%

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	26.9%	17.4%	41.2%	5.9%	35.3%	11.8%	47.1%
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	23%	11.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	26.4%	6.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	21.5%	13.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	46.1%	34.3%	48.2%	7.1%	41.1%	5.4%	46.4%
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.3%	30.6%	53.2%	4.3%	48.9%	14.9%	31.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	39.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	20%	23.1%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	0%	90.9%
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	18.2%	19%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	71.4%	39.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Asian	2013-14	69.2%	37.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	33.3%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	29.3%	46.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	44.3%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.8%	22.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	53%	35.6%	62.2%	10.8%	51.4%	2.7%	35.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	52.9%	31%	59.4%	3.1%	56.3%	12.5%	28.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	45.7%	32.5%	54.8%	9.7%	45.2%	0%	45.2%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	43.4%	28.8%	50%	3.8%	46.2%	11.5%	38.5%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	46.4%	36%	40%	4%	36%	12%	48%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	47.2%	32.3%	57.1%	4.8%	52.4%	19%	23.8%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	31.1%	26.9%	39.3%	7.1%	32.1%	3.6%	57.1%
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	22%	52.4%	4.8%	47.6%	14.3%	33.3%
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	24.4%	10.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	23.1%	6.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	23%	21.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	23.2%	8.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	45.7%	32.2%	66.7%	7.4%	59.3%	7.4%	25.9%
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.2%	32.1%	51%	10.2%	40.8%	24.5%	24.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	20.5%	23.4%	70%	0%	70%	0%	30%
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	20%	15%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	74.7%	47.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	73.6%	43.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	32.3%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	42.7%	29.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.2%	7.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	52.4%	32%	67.6%	5.9%	61.8%	8.8%	23.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2013-14	51.7%	33.7%	65.6%	9.4%	56.3%	28.1%	6.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	43.9%	31%	63.6%	4.5%	59.1%	13.6%	22.7%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	44.7%	31.3%	51.9%	14.8%	37%	25.9%	22.2%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	47.5%	33.3%	68.8%	9.4%	59.4%	3.1%	28.1%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	45.7%	32.9%	50%	4.5%	45.5%	22.7%	27.3%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	30.3%	21.7%	50%	3.8%	46.2%	11.5%	38.5%
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	29.5%	24.5%	31.8%	4.5%	27.3%	36.4%	31.8%
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	22.9%	8.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	23.1%	8.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19.9%	11.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	20%	18.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	66.5%	59.3%	62.7%	9.8%	52.9%	27.5%	9.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	61.3%	48.2%	56.8%	10.8%	45.9%	37.8%	5.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	American Indian	2012-13	60.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	44.8%	41.9%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2013-14	37.3%	39.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2012-13	79%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	76.2%	58.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	53.5%	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	46.9%	40.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	67.6%	57.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	61.8%	55.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	73%	60.5%	66.7%	6.1%	60.6%	24.2%	9.1%
Reading	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	68.8%	47.5%	55%	10%	45%	40%	5%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2012-13	70.2%	65.4%	66.7%	11.1%	55.6%	25.9%	7.4%
Reading	3rd Grade	Female	2013-14	64.1%	48.9%	52.9%	5.9%	47.1%	41.2%	5.9%
Reading Page 5 of 38	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	63%	53.4%	58.3%	8.3% A se	50% rvice of the Center fo	29.2% In Educational Perform	12.5% nance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	58.6%	47.6%	60%	15%	45%	35%	5%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	53.8%	52%	57.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	47.9%	39.3%	52.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%	11.8%
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	41.5%	28.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	37.2%	14.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	37.9%	29.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	68.1%	58.9%	57.1%	3.6%	53.6%	35.7%	7.1%
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	70%	58.2%	76.6%	2.1%	74.5%	8.5%	14.9%
Reading	4th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	66.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2012-13	43%	47.7%	45.5%	0%	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	47.6%	50.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2012-13	79.2%	64.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Asian	2013-14	81.1%	58.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	57.5%	52.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	57.8%	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	68.7%	43.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	71.2%	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	White	2012-13	75.1%	60.6%	62.2%	2.7%	59.5%	35.1%	2.7%
Reading	4th Grade	White	2013-14	76.5%	58.5%	81.3%	3.1%	78.1%	9.4%	9.4%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	71.1%	63.8%	64.5%	6.5%	58.1%	29%	6.5%
Reading	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	73%	64.2%	76.9%	0%	76.9%	11.5%	11.5%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	65.1%	54.2%	48%	0%	48%	44%	8%
Reading	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	67%	52.7%	76.2%	4.8%	71.4%	4.8%	19%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.1%	49%	60.7%	0%	60.7%	28.6%	10.7%
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	57.3%	49.5%	76.2%	0%	76.2%	9.5%	14.3%
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	39.1%	20.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	42.9%	25.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	38.3%	38.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.6%	21.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	70.4%	60.3%	75.9%	11.1%	64.8%	14.8%	9.3%



#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	71.7%	63.8%	67.3%	20.4%	46.9%	24.5%	8.2%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.8%	54.5%	70%	0%	70%	20%	10%
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	48.7%	55%	45.5%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	81.5%	68.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	80%	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	60.8%	47.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	70.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	72.6%	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	White	2012-13	76.9%	60.5%	76.5%	14.7%	61.8%	11.8%	11.8%
Reading	5th Grade	White	2013-14	78.2%	64.3%	81.3%	21.9%	59.4%	12.5%	6.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	74.1%	67.4%	77.3%	9.1%	68.2%	9.1%	13.6%
Reading	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	74.2%	67.5%	70.4%	29.6%	40.7%	18.5%	11.1%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	66.8%	53.3%	75%	12.5%	62.5%	18.8%	6.3%
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	69.2%	60.2%	63.6%	9.1%	54.5%	31.8%	4.5%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.9%	52.2%	61.5%	0%	61.5%	26.9%	11.5%
Reading	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	59.4%	55.2%	59.1%	22.7%	36.4%	22.7%	18.2%
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	36.3%	24.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	39.2%	21.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	36.6%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	41.1%	43.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	13.1%	11.9%	32.7%	16.4%	16.4%	34.5%	32.7%
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	16.8%	6.5%	8.2%	4.1%	4.1%	26.5%	65.3%
Science	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	2.6%	3.1%	9.1%	9.1%	0%	45.5%	45.5%
Science	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	18.2%	81.8%
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2012-13	26.6%	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	30.1%	8.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	7.7%	11.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	12.2%	12.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	15.2%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	16%	12.3%	38.2%	14.7%	23.5%	38.2%	23.5%
Science	5th Grade	White	2013-14	20.7%	6.9%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	28.1%	59.4%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	11.6%	10.8%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%
Science	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	15.9%	7.1%	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	37%	55.6%
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	14.5%	12.9%	42.4%	21.2%	21.2%	33.3%	24.2%

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	17.7%	5.9%	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	77.3%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	5.8%	5.9%	25.9%	14.8%	11.1%	29.6%	44.4%
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	8%	4.2%	4.5%	4.5%	0%	22.7%	72.7%
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	1.4%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	2.9%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	4.1%	3.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.6%	0.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	63.7%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	56.5%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	66.4%	58.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	62.4%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	55.3%	37.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	46.3%	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	56.2%	38.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	53.4%	33.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	58.5%	48.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.4%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	60.4%	45.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	55.7%	52%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	39.3%	32%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	34.2%	20%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	38.3%	37.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	34.6%	22.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	45.6%	30.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	35.2%	30%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading Page 12 of 38	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	45.4%	26.9%	<10	<10 A service of the Center	<10 er for Educational Perfo	<10 rmance and Information



#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	Students	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressing
Reading	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	41.3%	37%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	59.8%	56.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	African American	2012-13	50.4%	85.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	57.4%	53.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading		Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	58%	61.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### **MI-Access Functional Independence**

Proficient Proficient Proficient	Subje	ect	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
----------------------------------	-------	-----	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	90.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	88.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	All Students	2012-13	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	All Students	2013-14	82.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	88.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	African American	2012-13	85.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Asian	2013-14	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	90.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	89.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	White	2012-13	87.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	White	2013-14	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	91.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Male	2012-13	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Male	2013-14	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	88.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### **MI-Access Supported Independence**

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
ELA	3rd Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	84.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	91.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	3rd Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	91.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	89.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	87.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	All Students	2012-13	82.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	All Students	2013-14	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	86.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	African American	2013-14	79.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2012-13	87.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	White	2013-14	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	White	2012-13	82.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	White	2013-14	80.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	90.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	85.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Female	2012-13	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Female	2013-14	80.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	88.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
ELA	4th Grade	Male	2012-13	80.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Male	2013-14	79.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	89.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	84.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	82.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	4th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	88.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	84.9%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	77.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	76.2%	72.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2012-13	80.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	All Students	2013-14	81%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	86.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	77.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	African American	2013-14	78.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics Page 17 of 38	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	<10	<10	<10	<10 A service of the Cent	<10 er for Educational Perfo	<10 simance and Informatio



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
ELA	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Asian	2013-14	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2012-13	89.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	White	2013-14	84.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	White	2012-13	78%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	White	2013-14	77.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2012-13	81.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	White	2013-14	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	86.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	85.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	79.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	80.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Female	2012-13	80.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Female	2013-14	83.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	89.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	84.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	76.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	73.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Male	2012-13	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Male	2013-14	79.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	89.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	86.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	78.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	77%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	81.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	81.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	85%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	5th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### **MI-Access Participation**

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	0%	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.6%	52%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	10.3%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	District	Mathematics	99.6%	36.2%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.7%	63%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	100%	50%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	98.3%	48.2%
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	53.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.6%	44.4%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.4%	29.8%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	98.7%	34.8%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	73.1%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	25.4%
African American	School	Mathematics	100%	58.8%
Asian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	School	Mathematics	100%	75.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	70.1%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	0%	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	99.7%	81.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	42.5%
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30
African American	District	Reading	99.7%	72.8%
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	100%	81.1%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	98.3%	83.4%
White	District	Reading	99.8%	82.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	99.8%	75.8%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	99.8%	58.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	99.4%	55.9%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	86.8%
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	0%	55.9%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
African American	School	Reading	100%	82.4%
Asian	School	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	86.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	81.6%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	0%	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	99.5%	31.4%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0.1%
American Indian	District	Science	<30	<30
African American	District	Science	99.4%	15.9%
Asian	District	Science	99.7%	37%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	97.9%	23.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	95.3%	29.8%
White	District	Science	99.6%	33.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.4%	22.2%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
English Language Learners	District	Science	98.8%	4.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	98.7%	14.8%
All Students	School	Science	100%	31.4%
Bottom 30%	School	Science	<30	<30
African American	School	Science	<30	<30
Asian	School	Science	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	100%	37.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	0%	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.3%	49.3%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	98.6%	38.6%
Asian	District	Social Studies	99.7%	60.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	30.8%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	97.3%	52.5%
White	District	Social Studies	99.4%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.2%	40.1%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.4%	16.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	96.8%	19.2%
All Students	School	Social Studies	0%	58.8%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Asian	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	0%	59.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	0%	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	98.7%	71.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	7.6%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
African American	District	Writing	99.8%	59.3%
Asian	District	Writing	97.8%	78.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	70.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	97.6%	81.2%
White	District	Writing	98.7%	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	98%	63.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	95.7%	40.1%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	99.4%	34.1%
All Students	School	Writing	100%	83%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	<30	<30
African American	School	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	100%	90.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	<30	<30
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



### Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	78.2%
African American	District	77.2%
Asian	District	85%
White	District	78.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	70.7%
English Language Learners	District	54%
Students With Disabilities	District	64.5%
Bottom 30%	District	78.5%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	96%
All Students	School	96%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	J	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	---	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



#### Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
	Black Elementary School	Focus School	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Yellow	32



#### **Teacher Quality - Qualification**

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		6	20	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### **Teacher Quality - Class**

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### **Teacher Quality - Provisional**

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



#### NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ 2	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡ 16	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡ 24	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡ 10
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1 2	21 64 51 12 0 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0 0	29 6 13 30 0 0 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1 1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 1	26 68 58 26 0 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0 0	28 61 47 23 0 0 0	35 27 32 32 0 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



#### NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0 2	17 46 31 17 0 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

#### # Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 0	20 52 34 21 0 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

# Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



### **NAEP** Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading	84 76	3.6 3.3	-	5.2 4.0