Warren Consolidated Schools

Creating Dynamic Futures through Student Achievement, High Expectations, and Strong Relationships

PAUL K. COUSINO HIGH SCHOOL 30333 Hoover Road Warren, MI 48093 586.574.3100 1.888.4WCS.KIDS www.wcskids.net Text WCSKIDS to 57780

Board of Education

Brian White, President Elaine G. Martin, Vice President Susan M. Jozwik, Secretary Megan E. Papasian-Broadwell, Treasurer I. Susan Kattula, Trustee Benjamin I. Lazarus, Trustee Susan G. Trombley, Trustee

> Robert D. Livernois, Ph.D. Superintendent

Cousino High School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 15, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for the Cousino High School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability, and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Stephen Bigelow, Principal, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <u>http://www.wcskids.net/curriculum/annual report/aer</u> or you may review a copy in the main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus, or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our school has been identified as a "FOCUS" school this year.

For 2013-2014, Cousino High School made gains in all areas identified by the ACT: ACT English, ACT mathematics, ACT reading, ACT science, ACT writing, and ACT composite. ACT scores have steadily increased over the past five years as demonstrated by the improvements in composite scores from 19.1 in 2010, to 19.9 in 2014. MME proficiency scores have also steadily increased over the past five years with MME scores at an all-time high in 2014 in reading, writing, and social studies. Math and science scores have remained stagnant over the past five years indicating a need for increased attention.

AdvancED

International District Accreditation



Cousino High School continually restructures programs and services to best serve our community. We value contributions from our community and encourage parents to become involved with our school improvement plan through facilitating extended learning at home, supporting building initiatives, and taking an active role in promoting college preparation initiatives at Cousino High School.

State law requires that we also report the following additional information for the two most recent years:

1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL:

Students are assigned to schools according to their address of residence within the district's boundaries. Parents may request another school within the District under the Open Enrollment process, however, transportation is not provided. The Board of Education has determined that it will allow nonresident students, residing within the Macomb ISD, but whose parent(s) reside in the District, and who qualify to enroll in the District through a Schools of Choice program.

- 2. Cousino High School met the following school improvement goals:
 - Cousino High School will create a culture that expects students to continue their education in post-secondary programs.
 - Cousino High School 11th grade students will, collectively, improve their ACT English composite score when comparing the spring 2014 ACT to the fall 2013 decommissioned ACT.
 - Cousino High School 11th grade students will, collectively, improve their ACT mathematics composite score when comparing the spring 2014 ACT to the fall 2013 decommissioned ACT.
 - Cousino High School 11th grade students will, collectively, improve their ACT science composite score when comparing the spring 2014 ACT to the fall 2013 decommissioned ACT.
- 3. Warren Consolidated Schools offers several specialized programs to meet the needs of a variety of learners.

The **Macomb Math and Science Center (MMSTC)** is an honors program for 9th – 12th grade students in 11 Macomb County districts who are interested in advanced/accelerated mathematics, science, and computer technology. Students must apply and be selected for the program based upon placement testing and teachers recommendation. The Center's program is a half day and students attend their home school for the remainder of the day. It is located at Butcher Community Center.

The **Middle School Mathematics Science Technology Center (MS)2TC** offers an advanced mathematics, science technology option for middle school students. Students who are accepted into the program will split their day between their home middle school, where they will take their social studies and two elective classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their mathematics, science and English language arts classes.

The Middle School- School of Performing Arts (MS-WCSPA) is an exciting, creative program that has been designed to build on the district's nationally-acclaimed, award-winning high school performing arts program (WCSPA) which is located in Sterling Heights High School. MS-WCSPA is intended for academically-focused and creative students who seek to blend their academic experience with interest in the performing arts. Students who are accepted into the program will split their school day between their home middle school, where they will take their language arts, science, and math classes, and Butcher Educational Center, where they will take their social studies and two performing arts electives. The performing arts electives will include visual arts, music, dance, and theatre exploratory experiences.

The Career Preparation Center (CPC) programs are open to Clintondale, Fraser, and Warren Consolidated 11th and 12th grade students. CPC programs are designed to provide students with skills to enter the workforce or continue with additional college or workplace training. Career Technical Education (CTE) classes at the CPC provide technical skills and knowledge. Students enrolled in these programs are often able to earn technical certifications as well as college credit.

Community High School is an alternative education program for high school students. The primary objective of the school is to assist enrolled students in meeting their high school graduation requirements in a timely manner. It is located at the Flynn Educational Center along with the adult ESL program.

Year-round education offers many benefits for students and families such as increased student achievement and retention rates, more effective learning environment by reduced time spent reviewing, expanded enrichment and intervention opportunities for students, and expanded educational choice options for parents. Year-round education is offered at Fillmore, Siersma, and Holden Elementary Schools.

4. Warren Consolidated Schools follows all State of Michigan curriculum guidelines. The Board of Education has adopted a core curriculum based on Michigan Curriculum Framework, Grade-Level Content Expectations/Common Core State Standards, and Michigan Merit Curriculum approved by the State Board of Education. A copy of the core curriculum may be accessed at www.wcskids.net.

11 th Gr	rade MME Le	vels 1 & 2	(Proficient 8	k Advanced) 5	5 Year Trend
Year	Reading	Writing	Math	Science	Social Studies
2014	58%	52%	22%	20%	38%
2013	48%	50%	23%	15%	29%
2012	50%	49%	28%	21%	33%
2011	52%	47%	21%	20%	38%
2010	53%	44%	23%	20%	33%

5. MME & ACT Results

...

TT 01			100LIN13/3		
Year	English	Math	Reading	Science	Composite
2014	19.4	19.5	19.8	20.5	19.9
2013	18.8	19.0	19.3	19.6	19.4
2012	18.5	19.5	18.8	19.6	19.3
2011	18.1	19.2	18.7	19.6	19.0
2010	18.3	19.1	19.1	19.2	19.1

11th Grade ACT Scores (ALL STUDENTS) 5 Year Trend

6. Parent Participation at Fall and Spring Conferences

	1 2	
YEAR	FALL	SPRING
2012-2013	56%	34%
2013-2014	42%	38%
CHANGE	-14%	+4%

- 7. Additional high school information:
 - a. Dual Enrollment Students: 21 (1.3%)
 - b. College Equivalent courses offered: 9
 - c. The number and percentage of students enrolled in college equivalent courses (Advanced Placement): 738 Students (7.26%)
 - d. Dual Enrollment (1.3%), Advanced Placement Scores

Paul K Cousino Senior High School (233694) Total Students: 86; Total Schools: 1

Score	Mus Theo	Eng Lang Comp	Eng Lit Comp	Macr Econ	Psyc	US Gov Pol	US Hist	Calc AB	Calc BC	Stat	Biol	Chem	Phys C: Mech	Total Exams	% of Total Exams
5							1	2	2	1			1	7	4.5
4		5		3	3			8	1	3	3	1	1	28	17.8
3		10	7	1	2	3	5	8	1	5	8	1		51	32.5
2	2	6	5	5	6	8	5	7	2	1	1			48	30.6
1	-1			6	7	5	2	3						23	14.6
Total	2	21	12	15	18	16	13	28	6	10	12	2	2	157	100.0

It is with great pleasure that I report the continuous improvements of the Cousino High School students and staff. Our focus on preparing students for post-secondary success drives our record of progressive academic achievement.

Sincerely,

Stephen C. Bigelow Principal, Cousino High School



Cousino Senior High School

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient		% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------------------------

No Data to Display



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	28.6%	21.4%	23.3%	4.2%	19.1%	42.4%	34.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	28.8%	20.8%	21.8%	5.7%	16.1%	43.9%	34.3%
Mathematics	11th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	15.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	19.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	5.7%	6.9%	10.3%	1.7%	8.6%	34.5%	55.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2013-14	5.9%	6.9%	5.1%	1.7%	3.4%	30.5%	64.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	58.8%	32.6%	33.3%	5.6%	27.8%	33.3%	33.3%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Asian	2013-14	60.7%	26.9%	22.2%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%	22.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	15.3%	15.8%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	45.5%	45.5%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	14.6%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	23.4%	5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	24.5%	25%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	33.3%	23.6%	26.9%	5%	21.9%	44.4%	28.7%
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2013-14	33.5%	22.9%	25.7%	6.9%	18.8%	46.4%	27.9%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	27%	18.9%	21.8%	3.5%	18.3%	44.1%	34.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	26.5%	19.3%	18.9%	1.1%	17.8%	43.3%	37.8%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	30.3%	24%	25.1%	5.1%	20%	40.6%	34.3%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	31.1%	22.2%	24.6%	10.2%	14.4%	44.4%	31%



Cousino Senior High School

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	13%	14.6%	14.8%	1.4%	13.4%	42.3%	43%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	13%	11.1%	11.2%	3%	8.2%	45.5%	43.3%
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	7%	1.7%	0%	0%	0%	28.6%	71.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	6.7%	2.5%	0%	0%	0%	21.4%	78.6%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	3.7%	5.6%	7.7%	0%	7.7%	10.3%	82.1%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	4.2%	4.9%	8.6%	0%	8.6%	11.4%	80%
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	53.5%	44.7%	48.3%	7.7%	40.6%	32.9%	18.8%
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	58.7%	52%	58%	9.3%	48.8%	27.2%	14.7%
Reading	11th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	47.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	55%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	28.9%	29.4%	24.1%	1.7%	22.4%	44.8%	31%
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2013-14	31.3%	39.7%	39%	3.4%	35.6%	30.5%	30.5%
Reading	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	64.9%	57.3%	44.4%	0%	44.4%	22.2%	33.3%
Reading	11th Grade	Asian	2013-14	71.8%	57.1%	44.4%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%
Reading	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	39.9%	57.9%	54.5%	0%	54.5%	18.2%	27.3%



Cousino Senior High School

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	45.5%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	52.8%	45%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	58.6%	52%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	White	2012-13	59.1%	46%	53.8%	10%	43.7%	31.2%	15.1%
Reading	11th Grade	White	2013-14	65%	53.6%	62.7%	10.5%	52.2%	25.4%	12%
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	56%	48%	53%	7.9%	45%	32.7%	14.4%
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	62.4%	57.4%	60.6%	9.4%	51.1%	25.6%	13.9%
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	51%	41.3%	42.9%	7.4%	35.4%	33.1%	24%
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	55%	46.9%	55.6%	9.1%	46.5%	28.9%	15.5%
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	37.9%	35.3%	38%	4.9%	33.1%	39.4%	22.5%
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	43%	41%	50%	5.2%	44.8%	32.1%	17.9%
Reading	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	13.2%	7.5%	21.4%	0%	21.4%	42.9%	35.7%
Reading	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	19.4%	13.9%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%
Reading	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19%	15.4%	10.3%	7.7%	2.6%	23.1%	66.7%
Reading Page 4 of 30	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	24.1%	27.2%	34.3%	8.6%	25.7%	25.7%	40%

Page 4 of 30



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	25.7%	15%	14.6%	4.2%	10.3%	31%	54.4%
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	28.4%	16.7%	19.6%	5.4%	14.2%	26.7%	53.7%
Science	11th Grade	American Indian	2012-13	17%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	American Indian	2013-14	21.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	3.9%	3.1%	5.2%	1.7%	3.4%	12.1%	82.8%
Science	11th Grade	African American	2013-14	5.5%	4%	3.4%	1.7%	1.7%	16.9%	79.7%
Science	11th Grade	Asian	2012-13	44.7%	27%	16.7%	0%	16.7%	33.3%	50%
Science	11th Grade	Asian	2013-14	48.5%	13.5%	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50%
Science	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	12.2%	10%	0%	0%	0%	18.2%	81.8%
Science	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	15.5%	0%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	21.1%	10%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	27.2%	20.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	30.4%	16.1%	17.6%	5.4%	12.2%	35.5%	47%
Science	11th Grade	White	2013-14	33.4%	19.5%	23.6%	6.2%	17.4%	28.3%	48.2%
Science	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	22.5%	13.1%	12.9%	3%	9.9%	35.6%	51.5%
Science	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	24.6%	13.2%	15%	2.8%	12.2%	25%	60%
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	28.9%	17%	16.6%	5.7%	10.9%	25.7%	57.7%
Science	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	32.2%	20%	24.1%	8%	16%	28.3%	47.6%



Cousino Senior High School

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	11.5%	8%	7.7%	1.4%	6.3%	26.8%	65.5%
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	14.2%	8.7%	11.9%	2.2%	9.7%	23.1%	64.9%
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2012-13	2.6%	1.2%	0%	0%	0%	21.4%	78.6%
Science	11th Grade	English Language Learners	2013-14	3.3%	0.5%	0%	0%	0%	7.1%	92.9%
Science	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	5.1%	5.6%	5.1%	2.6%	2.6%	7.7%	87.2%
Science	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.5%	4.9%	8.6%	2.9%	5.7%	11.4%	80%



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Proficient Proficient Proficient	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Exceeded	% Met	% Progressin
----------------------------------	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------	-------	--------------

No Data to Display



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	65.8%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	91.9%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	59%	90%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	47.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	85.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	African American	2012-13	38.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	69.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	91.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	61.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	91.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	88.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	89.1%	70%	70%	60%	10%	30%
ELA	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	85%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	84.3%	70%	70%	40%	30%	30%
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	87.8%	90%	90%	30%	60%	10%
Mathematics	11th Grade	African American	2013-14	90.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	African American	2013-14	86.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	African American	2013-14	91%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	81.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	90.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	90.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	93.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	86.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2013-14	89.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA Page 9 of 30	11th Grade	White	2012-13	84.3%	<10	<10	<10 A service of the Center	<10 r for Educational Perfo	<10 mance and Information



MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
ELA	11th Grade	White	2013-14	84.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	White	2013-14	87%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	87.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	90.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	86%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	85.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	81.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	91.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	88.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	88.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	84.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	83.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	84.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	86%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	90.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	92.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA Page 10 of 30	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	87.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Cousino Senior High School

MI-Access Supported Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group		State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	86%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	90.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Cousino Senior High School

MI-Access Participation

	Sı	ubject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
--	----	--------	-------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

No Data to Display



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	0%	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	99.6%	52%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	0%	10.3%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	District	Mathematics	99.6%	36.2%
Asian	District	Mathematics	99.7%	63%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	100%	50%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	98.3%	48.2%
White	District	Mathematics	99.7%	53.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	99.6%	44.4%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	99.4%	29.8%
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	98.7%	34.8%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	38.2%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	0%	1%
American Indian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
African American	School	Mathematics	100%	15.4%
Asian	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Mathematics	<30	<30



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	100%	42.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	100%	27.6%
English Language Learners	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	100%	23.7%
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	0%	60.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Reading	99%	83.4%
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	99.7%	81.5%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	0%	42.5%
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30
African American	District	Reading	99.7%	72.8%
Asian	District	Reading	99.9%	85.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	100%	81.1%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	98.3%	83.4%
White	District	Reading	99.8%	82.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	99.8%	75.8%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	99.8%	58.9%
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	99.4%	55.9%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	83.5%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	0%	45.2%
American Indian	School	Reading	<30	<30
African American	School	Reading	100%	65.4%
Asian	School	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	86.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	100%	78.7%
English Language Learners	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	100%	57.9%
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	0%	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	99.5%	31.4%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	0%	0.1%
American Indian	District	Science	<30	<30
African American	District	Science	99.4%	15.9%
Asian	District	Science	99.7%	37%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	97.9%	23.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	95.3%	29.8%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
White	District	Science	99.6%	33.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.4%	22.2%
English Language Learners	District	Science	98.8%	4.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	98.7%	14.8%
All Students	School	Science	100%	41.6%
Bottom 30%	School	Science	0%	1%
American Indian	School	Science	<30	<30
African American	School	Science	100%	13.5%
Asian	School	Science	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	100%	47.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	100%	30.7%
English Language Learners	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	100%	29%
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	0%	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.3%	49.3%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	District	Social Studies	98.6%	38.6%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Asian	District	Social Studies	99.7%	60.6%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	100%	30.8%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	97.3%	52.5%
White	District	Social Studies	99.4%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	99.2%	40.1%
English Language Learners	District	Social Studies	99.4%	16.4%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	96.8%	19.2%
All Students	School	Social Studies	99.5%	58.1%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	0%	0%
American Indian	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
African American	School	Social Studies	100%	30.2%
Asian	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	School	Social Studies		
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	99.3%	62.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	99.7%	49.2%
English Language Learners	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	100%	25%
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	0%	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Page 17 of 30	Statewide	Writing	97.8% A service of the Center for Educa	61.3% tional Performance and Information



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	98.7%	71.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	0%	7.6%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
African American	District	Writing	99.8%	59.3%
Asian	District	Writing	97.8%	78.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	100%	70.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	97.6%	81.2%
White	District	Writing	98.7%	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	98%	63.3%
English Language Learners	District	Writing	95.7%	40.1%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	99.4%	34.1%
All Students	School	Writing	100%	70.9%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	0%	3.9%
American Indian	School	Writing	<30	<30
African American	School	Writing	100%	50%
Asian	School	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	100%	75.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	100%	60.7%
English Language Learners	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	100%	37.5%



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	78.2%
African American	District	77.2%
Asian	District	85%
White	District	78.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	70.7%
English Language Learners	District	54%
Students With Disabilities	District	64.5%
Bottom 30%	District	78.5%
All Students	School	88.4%
African American	School	86.4%
White	School	88.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	82.2%
Students With Disabilities	School	61.9%
Bottom 30%	School	84%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	96%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Cousino Senior High School

Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



Cousino Senior High School

Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science Score	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Warren Consolidate d Schools	Cousino Senior High School		Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Yellow	47



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		12	66	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ 2	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡ 16	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡ 24	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡ 10
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1 2	21 64 51 12 0 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0 0	29 6 13 30 0 0 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1 1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 1	26 68 58 26 0 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0 0	28 61 47 23 0 0 0	35 27 32 32 0 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0 2	17 46 31 17 0 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 0	20 52 34 21 0 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading	84 76	3.6 3.3		5.2 4.0